

# BMJ Open Can resistance exercise prevent breast cancer-related lymphoedema? A systematic review and metanalysis protocol

Raúl Alberto Aguilera-Eguía <sup>1,2</sup> Pamela Seron <sup>3,4</sup>  
Ruvistay Gutiérrez-Arias <sup>5,6,7</sup> Carlos Zaror <sup>8,9</sup>

**To cite:** Aguilera-Eguía RA, Seron P, Gutiérrez-Arias R, *et al.* Can resistance exercise prevent breast cancer-related lymphoedema? A systematic review and metanalysis protocol. *BMJ Open* 2024;**14**:e080935. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2023-080935

► Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2023-080935>).

Received 14 October 2023  
Accepted 21 October 2024



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2024. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

## Correspondence to

Dr Pamela Seron;  
[pamela.seron@ufrontera.cl](mailto:pamela.seron@ufrontera.cl)

## ABSTRACT

**Introduction** Evidence shows that resistance training (RT) reduces lymphoedema in patients with breast cancer-related lymphoedema (BRCL), making it a safe and efficient intervention. However, it is uncertain if RT is safe and effective in patients at risk of developing BRCL. This systematic review (SR) protocol aims to describe all methodological aspects in order to evaluate the short-, medium- and long-term effects of RT on the prevention of BRCL.

**Materials and methods** Throughout 2024, randomised clinical trials (RCTs) will be identified in electronic databases MEDLINE/PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, PEDro and LILACS. Only studies in English, Spanish and Portuguese will be included. Grey literature and clinical trial registration will also be reviewed. The primary outcome will be the occurrence of lymphoedema and quality of life. Second, pain intensity, upper limb function, range of movement, grip strength and adverse events will be considered. The individual studies' risk of bias will be evaluated using the Cochrane Risk of Bias 2.0 tool. Pairwise meta-analyses using a frequentist approach and random effects model will be conducted. The Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation system will be used to evaluate the certainty of the evidence.

**Ethics and dissemination** This protocol does not require the approval of an ethics committee, as it is a secondary study. The results will be disseminated through peer-reviewed publications.

**PROSPERO registration number** CRD42023455720.

## INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer (BC) became the most commonly diagnosed type of cancer in 2020,<sup>1</sup> registering 2.3 million new cases (11.7%). Although it has been established that BC's mortality is decreasing in high-income countries,<sup>2–4</sup> its incidence has been constantly increasing,<sup>1 5 6</sup> which means that there is a better chance of survival after diagnosis. Breast cancer-related lymphoedema (BCRL) is one of the most underestimated and debilitating consequences of the treatment that

## STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ This study will be the first systematic review to evaluate the efficacy of resistance training in the prevention of breast cancer-related lymphedema in people at risk of developing lymphoedema.
- ⇒ This study will be undertaken according to the recommendations of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Review of Interventions.
- ⇒ Certainty of evidence will be evaluated by using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation approach.
- ⇒ One potential limitation of this study could be the heterogeneity among the randomised clinical trials due to the characteristics of the intervention (intensity, duration, frequency).

people with breast cancer receive.<sup>7</sup> Its incidence varies from 3% to 75.4% of the general population, depending on the type of treatment received and the follow-up period evaluated.<sup>7–13</sup> It has been reported that among women treated for BC, 20% were diagnosed with BCRL more than 2 years after their initial diagnosis<sup>14</sup> and that there is a probability between 27% and 49% that they will develop it up to 20 years after treatment.<sup>15–17</sup> This data demonstrates that BCRL can be present months or years after initial treatment and can occur gradually or suddenly.<sup>18 19</sup>

BCRL is caused by the interruption of lymphatic flow and other risk factors, such as mastectomy, axillary dissection, positive lymph nodes, radiotherapy, taxane use and obesity.<sup>8 19–27</sup> Clinically, BCRL is characterised by an increased volume of the affected arm, a heavy or rigid sensation in the limb, limitation of movement, pain or discomfort, and, in more severe cases, hardening and fibrosis, which negatively affect functionality and lead to a decrease in quality of life.<sup>28 29</sup>

Currently, the treatment of BCRL focuses on controlling the limb's volume through

physical therapy together with compression treatments,<sup>30 31</sup> which results in a great financial burden for patients and health systems.<sup>32 33</sup>

For a long time, physicians and physical therapists have advised women under treatment for BC to avoid physical exercise and lifting weights, believing that these activities could cause or exacerbate BCRL.<sup>34–37</sup> However, there are several systematic reviews (SRs) that analyse the effect of resistance training (RT) on the reduction of BCRL, making it a safe and effective intervention for people with BCRL.<sup>38 39</sup>

Currently, it has been shown that physical exercise increases blood flow, cardiac output and blood pressure, which favours capillary filtration, the movement of liquids and proteins in the lymphatic capillaries.<sup>40</sup> Exercise also increases the lymph's propulsion through the lymphatic vessels by intrinsic and extrinsic mechanisms, such as the skeletal muscle pump, respiratory pump and the pulse of the nearby blood vessels in order to facilitate the lymph's return.<sup>40 41</sup>

Due to BCRL's impact on quality of life after BC treatment and associated economic costs, it is fundamental to focus preventative efforts on women at risk of developing BCRL.

The American College of Sports Medicine<sup>42</sup> and Clinical Practice Guideline from the Academy of Oncologic Physical Therapy of APTA 2020<sup>43</sup> recommend progressive, supervised RT as a preventative strategy for BCRL. This recommendation is only based on five clinical trials.<sup>44–48</sup>

However, just one SR<sup>49</sup> evaluating the preventative effect of conservative interventions (non-surgical and non-pharmacological) has been published to date. These interventions include manual lymphatic drainage, early versus late intervention with shoulder mobility exercises, RT, education, and early intervention and follow-up in patients at risk of developing BCRL. This SR<sup>49</sup> included trials published up to May 2013, and only two studies related to RT.<sup>50 51</sup> In addition, the SR did not perform a sensitivity or subgroups analysis, due to the lack of RCTs included. The conclusion was that RT does not increase the risk of developing BCRL, as long as symptoms are monitored and immediately treated should they turn up. As for pain, it was observed that the group of patients treated more frequently with RT reported pain more often in comparison with the control group. As for quality of life, no significant difference was found between groups.

Despite the advancements and efforts made,<sup>52</sup> RT's efficacy and safety in patients at risk of developing BCRL are still uncertain.<sup>53</sup> This may be caused by a series of factors that limit the existing evidence and its quality.

Due to new evidence that has emerged after 2013 that analyses the efficacy of RT in women at risk of developing BCRL,<sup>45 54 55</sup> we believe that it is necessary to carry out this SR to determine if RT is safe and effective in the prevention of BCRL in people at risk of developing lymphoedema. In addition, we will consider if the type of RT impacts the effectiveness and focus our assessment on patient-important outcomes.

## Objective

Evaluate the short-, medium- and long-term efficacy and safety of RT in preventing BCRL.

## METHODS AND ANALYSIS

This protocol was registered in PROSPERO database (CRD42023455720) and is reported according to the guidelines of the PRISMA-P<sup>56</sup> (online supplemental appendix 1). The SR will be carried out according to the recommendations of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions.<sup>57</sup>

## Criteria for including studies in this review

### Types of studies

Randomised clinical trials (RCTs) written in English, Spanish and Portuguese will be included. If we identify abstracts published in congress and poster minutes, we will attempt to reach out to the study's authors to gather further information and validate the methodology and findings shared. Should we not receive a response from the authors, those materials will not be incorporated into our review.<sup>58</sup>

### Types of participants

RCTs, including women who have had surgery for BC and are therefore at risk for developing BCRL after treatment, will be eligible. Treatments for BC could include surgical treatment for breast cancer with axillary lymph node dissection, sentinel lymph node biopsy or axillary sampling, with or without radiotherapy to the axilla or the supraclavicular fossa or both, or radiotherapy alone.<sup>49</sup> Trials in people who had been diagnosed with lymphoedema or cancer recurrence will not be eligible for inclusion.<sup>49</sup>

### Types of interventions

RCTs that applied any RT after surgery that directly analysed their preventive effects, or not, will be included when the comparison group considers the same other physical therapy interventions so that the difference between the groups is the use of RTE. RT or 'strength training' is a programme of strengthening exercises that require the body to apply force against some type of resistance (eg, lifting weights, elastic bands, working with one's own body weight). This type of training is designed to improve the strength, potency, resistance and size of the skeletal muscle.<sup>59</sup>

### Types of comparator(s)/control

RCTs that included a control group without exercise, patient education or forms of exercise other than RT (eg, aerobic exercise or flexibility) will be included.

### Follow-up

The follow-up for each outcome will be classified as follows:

- ▶ Short term: ≤ 3 weeks.
- ▶ Medium-term: > 3 weeks up to 6 weeks.

- Long-term:  $\geq 6$  weeks.

## Types of outcome measures

### Primary outcomes

The primary outcome in our review is the occurrence of lymphoedema and quality of life. This occurrence of lymphoedema could be reported as either a dichotomous outcome or a continuous outcome (volume or percentage volume change).<sup>49</sup> Time-to-event data, with lymphoedema as the event, will also be used if reported.<sup>49</sup> Because of the variety of ways in which lymphoedema can be defined and diagnosed, studies will be considered eligible only if they use a predefined criterion for establishing lymphoedema based on an objective assessment.<sup>49</sup> This includes circumference measurements, water displacement methods, bioimpedance measurements, laser scanning, perimetry and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry scanning. This means we will not include studies that evaluate an intervention based solely on a diagnosis of lymphoedema made by a healthcare professional or on self-reported swelling or complaints of oedema.<sup>49</sup> Meanwhile, quality of life must be evaluated by any validated generic or specific self-report scale, such as EORTC Core Quality of Life questionnaire.

### Secondary outcomes

The secondary outcomes will be:

- Pain intensity measured by a validated generic or specific self-report scale, such as a numerical rating scale or visual analogue scale.
- Upper limb function measured by any validated generic or specific self-report scale (eg, Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand questionnaire).
- Range of motion evaluated with goniometry.
- Grip strength evaluated with dynamometry.
- Adverse events from the RT alone or as part of a physical therapy programme, such as increased in lymphoedema volume and pain.

## Search methods for identification of studies

### Electronic searches

A search of RCTs will be conducted in the following databases: MEDLINE/PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, PEDro and LILACS will be searched for reports published from data base inception to October 2024. Details of the search strategy for each database are described in the online supplemental appendix 2. We will perform a search of grey literature in the European grey literature database (<http://www.opengrey.eu>) and Open Access Theses and Dissertations. In addition, we will examine the reference lists of all relevant articles, including studies and previous SRs.

For RCT protocols, we will search the following registries:

1. Registro de Ensayos (<https://www.registroensayosclinicos.org/>).
2. ClinicalTrials.gov (<https://clinicaltrials.gov/>).

3. International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (<https://www.who.int/clinical-trials-registry-platform>).

4. Public Access Policy (<https://publicaccess.nih.gov/>).

We will also review the following preprint servers to identify relevant studies.<sup>60</sup> The servers we will consult include:

1. medRxiv (<https://www.medrxiv.org/>).
2. bioRxiv (<https://www.biorxiv.org/>).

## Data collection and analysis

### Selection of studies

All results will be exported to Rayyan software.<sup>61</sup> Once duplicated records are eliminated, two researchers will independently filter by title and abstract. Then, two researchers will independently revise each full text of the potentially eligible articles. Any discrepancy will be resolved by the authors' consensus. If no consensus is reached, the decision will be made by a third author.

### Data extraction and management

Two authors will independently perform data extraction using a previously piloted standardised form. Any discrepancy will be resolved by mutual consensus between the authors. If they cannot reach a consensus, a third author will make the final decision.

The following information will be extracted from each included study<sup>62</sup>:

1. Study's characteristics (year published, country, design, setting, sample size, duration of follow-up).
2. Study participants' basal characteristics (age, stage of the disease).
3. Intervention's characteristics (type of RT, dose, frequency).
4. Comparator's characteristics (control group without exercise, education or other type of exercise that is not RT).
5. Outcomes included.
6. Results of interest (proportion of case incidence per group, relative risk of dichotomous data, or mean and SD per group for continuous data).

### Assessment of risk of bias

Two authors will independently evaluate the risk of bias of each included study according to the Revised Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool (RoB 2.0).<sup>57</sup> Any discrepancy will be resolved by mutual consensus between the authors. If they are unable to reach a consensus, a third author will make the final decision.

RoB 2.0 evaluates the following domains: bias derived from the randomisation process, bias due to deviations from intended interventions, bias due to missing outcome data, bias in the measurement of the outcomes and bias in the selection of the reported results. A series of signalling questions will be included for each domain to provide a structured approach to obtaining relevant information on bias risk assessment. For each domain, the possible risk of bias judgements will be low risk of bias,

some concerns and high risk of bias.<sup>57</sup> We will also graphically summarise the risk of bias.

### Addressing missing data

A primary analysis will be done using all available data, followed by a sensitivity analysis. Furthermore, to reduce the risk of bias and increase the study's robustness, should the primary studies have incomplete data, the authors will be contacted.<sup>63</sup> If they do not respond after receiving two requests for data via email, an imputation method will be used for the missing values. This method will consist of a statistical evaluation based on the worst-case scenario, using STATA's 'metamiss' module for missing data.<sup>64</sup> This imputation's impact will be evaluated in the SR manuscript's discussion section.

### Estimation of the treatment effect

Relative risk will be used on the dichotomous results.<sup>65</sup> As for the continuous results, when the result of interest is measured with the same scale, we will use the means difference (DM) with its respective 95% CI.<sup>65</sup> When the results of interest are measured with different scales, we will use the standardised means difference (SMD).<sup>57</sup>

### Assessment of heterogeneity

Heterogeneity will be measured through the inconsistency test ( $I^2$ ). An  $I^2$  greater than 75% will be considered significant heterogeneity, between 40% and 75% as moderate heterogeneity and less than 40% as low heterogeneity.<sup>66</sup>

### Assessment of publication biases

Publication biases will be evaluated if at least 10 RCTs are included in the meta-analysis. We will use Begg's test to analyse the Funnel plot.<sup>67</sup> If there are asymmetries, we will examine other causes in addition to reporting bias, such as selective outcome reporting, poor methodological quality in smaller studies and heterogeneity.

### Data synthesis

The results will be reported according to recommendations from the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions.<sup>68</sup> The process of the selection of the studies will be illustrated in a Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) flowchart.<sup>68</sup> The reasons for excluding a study in the phase of full-text reading will be described in a table where the reason that the study was excluded will be indicated. The characteristics of the included RCTs (study information, type of participants: age, stage of disease, interventions, comparator type; results of interest and adverse effects), will be reported in tables where all relevant information will be summarised.

For each comparison, we will pool the results of studies with similar characteristics in terms of participants, interventions and outcome measures. The data will be analysed using a frequentist random effects model with the restricted maximum likelihood estimator, as we expected variation in effects due to differences in study populations

and methods. Forest plots will be constructed, showing the summary and 95% CI estimated in the meta-analyses.

All analyses will be carried out using Stata 18 (Stata Corp LP, USA).

### Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

We will consider all types of RT in this SR. In case moderate heterogeneity is found, together with the availability of information, we will perform an analysis of the subgroup according to the type of RT (intensity, frequency) and quality of life scale.<sup>63</sup>

### Sensitivity analysis

We will perform a sensitivity analysis only if we include an appropriate number of studies<sup>63</sup> to evaluate the results' strength by repeating the analysis with the following adjustments:

- ▶ Restricting the analysis of studies that present low risk of bias.
- ▶ Analyse the results as SMD in all scales or individually as MD for each scale.

### Summary of finding table

We will use the *Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation* (GRADE) system focus to evaluate the evidence's certainty and the degree of recommendation.<sup>69 70</sup> We will use the GRADEpro GDT Software (<https://gdt.gradeapro.org/app/>) to generate the 'Summary of finding table' for each result of interest. The quality of the evidence according to the GRADE focus will be classified as:<sup>69 70</sup> high, moderate, low or very low, according to the risk of bias, that is, inconsistency, indirectness, imprecision and publication bias.

### Patient and public involvement

No patients will be involved.

### Ethics and dissemination

This protocol does not require the approval of an ethics committee, as it is a secondary study. Special attention will be given to the relevance of the research question, and rigorous and transparent scientific methods will be employed. Clear criteria will also be established to recognise the contribution of each researcher and ensure respect for authorship rights.<sup>71</sup> The results will be disseminated through peer-reviewed publications.

### Author affiliations

<sup>1</sup>Departamento de Salud Pública, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Católica de la Santísima Concepción, Concepción, Chile

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Obstetrics and Gynecology and Preventive Medicine, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>3</sup>Departamento de Ciencias de la Rehabilitación, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de La Frontera, Temuco, Chile

<sup>4</sup>Centro de Excelencia CIGES, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de La Frontera, Temuco, Chile

<sup>5</sup>Departamento de Apoyo en Rehabilitación Cardiopulmonar Integral, Instituto Nacional del Tórax, Santiago, Chile

<sup>6</sup>Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences Institute, School of Physical Therapy, Faculty of Rehabilitation Sciences, Universidad Andres Bello, Santiago, 7591538, Chile

<sup>7</sup>INTRRehab Research Group, Instituto Nacional del Tórax, Santiago, Chile

<sup>8</sup>Department of Pediatric Dentistry and Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universidad de La Frontera, Temuco, Chile

<sup>9</sup>Center for Research in Epidemiology, Economics and Oral Public Health (CIEESPO), Faculty of Dentistry, Universidad de La Frontera, Temuco, Chile

X Ruvistay Gutiérrez-Arias @ruvistay

**Contributors** RAAE was responsible for the conception, study design, development of search strategies, data analysis, drafting the manuscript and final approval of the version to be published. PS contributed to the conception, study design, development of search strategies, data analysis, drafting the manuscript and final approval of the version to be published. RGA involved in the conception, study design, development of search strategies, data analysis, drafting the manuscript and final approval of the version to be published. CZ participated in the conception, study design, development of search strategies, data analysis, drafting the manuscript and final approval of the version to be published. RAAE is the guarantor.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient and public involvement** Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, conduct, reporting or dissemination plans of this research.

**Patient consent for publication** Not applicable.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Supplemental material** This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

**Author note** RAAE is a PhD candidate in Methodology of Biomedical Research and Public Health Program, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), Barcelona, Spain.

## ORCID iDs

Raúl Alberto Aguilera-Eguía <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4123-4255>

Pamela Seron <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0190-8988>

Ruvistay Gutiérrez-Arias <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1881-9316>

Carlos Zaror <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6942-6956>

## REFERENCES

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, *et al*. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2021;71:209–49.
- Narod SA, Iqbal J, Miller AB. Why have breast cancer mortality rates declined? *J Cancer Policy* 2015;5:8–17.
- Britt KL, Cuzick J, Phillips KA. Key steps for effective breast cancer prevention. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2020;20:417–36.
- Hendrick RE, Helvie MA, Monticciolo DL. Breast Cancer Mortality Rates Have Stopped Declining in U.S. Women Younger than 40 Years. *Radiology* 2021;299:143–9.
- Parkin DM, Fernández LMG. Use of statistics to assess the global burden of breast cancer. *Breast J* 2006;12 Suppl 1:S70–80.
- Sun Y-S, Zhao Z, Yang Z-N, *et al*. Risk Factors and Preventions of Breast Cancer. *Int J Biol Sci* 2017;13:1387–97.
- Pereira C, N, Pons P, G, Masià A. J. Linfedema asociado al cáncer de mama: factores de riesgo, diagnóstico y tratamiento quirúrgico. *Rev cir* 2019;71:79–87.
- Leray H, Malloizel-Delaunay J, Lusque A, *et al*. Body Mass Index as a Major Risk Factor for Severe Breast Cancer-Related Lymphedema. *Lymphat Res Biol* 2020;18:510–6.
- Lin Y, Xu Y, Wang C, *et al*. Loco-regional therapy and the risk of breast cancer-related lymphedema: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Breast Cancer* 2021;28:1261–72.
- McDuff SGR, Mina AI, Brunelle CL, *et al*. Timing of Lymphedema After Treatment for Breast Cancer: When Are Patients Most At Risk? *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2019;103:62–70.
- McLaughlin SA, Brunelle CL, Taghian A. Breast Cancer-Related Lymphedema: Risk Factors, Screening, Management, and the Impact of Locoregional Treatment. *J Clin Oncol* 2020;38:2341–50.
- Chachaj A, Małyszczak K, Pyszel K, *et al*. Physical and psychological impairments of women with upper limb lymphedema following breast cancer treatment. *Psychooncology* 2010;19:299–305.
- Armer JM, McCall L, Armer NC, *et al*. Lymphedema symptoms and limb measurement changes in breast cancer survivors treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy and axillary dissection: results of American College of Surgeons Oncology Group (ACOSOG) Z1071 (Alliance) substudy. *Supp Care Cancer* 2019;27:495–503.
- Bell RJ, Robinson PJ, Barallon R, *et al*. Lymphedema: experience of a cohort of women with breast cancer followed for 4 years after diagnosis in Victoria, Australia. *Support Care Cancer* 2013;21:2017–24.
- Petrek JA, Senie RT, Peters M, *et al*. Lymphedema in a cohort of breast carcinoma survivors 20 years after diagnosis. *Cancer* 2001;92:1368–77.
- Ozaslan C, Kuru B. Lymphedema after treatment of breast cancer. *Am J Surg* 2004;187:69–72.
- Hinrichs CS, Watroba NL, Rezaishiraz H, *et al*. Lymphedema secondary to postmastectomy radiation: incidence and risk factors. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2004;11:573–80.
- Pain SJ, Purushotham AD. Lymphoedema following surgery for breast cancer. *Br J Surg* 2000;87:1128–41.
- He L, Qu H, Wu Q, *et al*. *Lymphedema in survivors of breast cancer (review)*. Vol. 19, *oncology letters*. Spandidos Publications, 2020:2085–96.
- Kwan JYY, Famiyeh P, Su J, *et al*. Development and Validation of a Risk Model for Breast Cancer-Related Lymphedema. *JAMA Netw Open* 2020;3:e2024373.
- Rupp J, Hadamitzky C, Henkenberens C, *et al*. Frequency and risk factors for arm lymphedema after multimodal breast-conserving treatment of nodal positive breast Cancer - a long-term observation. *Radiat Oncol* 2019;14:39:39.
- Armer JM, Ballman KV, McCall L, *et al*. Factors Associated With Lymphedema in Women With Node-Positive Breast Cancer Treated With Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy and Axillary Dissection. *JAMA Surg* 2019;154:800–9.
- Belmonte R, Messaggi-Sartor M, Ferrer M, *et al*. Prospective study of shoulder strength, shoulder range of motion, and lymphedema in breast cancer patients from pre-surgery to 5 years after ALND or SLNB. *Support Care Cancer* 2018;26:3277–87.
- Nguyen TT, Hoskin TL, Habermann EB, *et al*. Breast Cancer-Related Lymphedema Risk is Related to Multidisciplinary Treatment and Not Surgery Alone: Results from a Large Cohort Study. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2017;24:2972–80.
- Wu R, Huang X, Dong X, *et al*. Obese patients have higher risk of breast cancer-related lymphedema than overweight patients after breast cancer: a meta-analysis. *Ann Transl Med* 2019;7:172.
- Pereira de Godoy JM, Pereira de Godoy LM, Guerreiro Godoy M de F. Prevalence of Subclinical Systemic Lymphedema in Patients Following Treatment for Breast Cancer and Association with Body Mass Index. *Cureus* 2020.
- Pereira C. N, Koshima I. Linfedema: actualización en el diagnóstico y tratamiento quirúrgico. *Rev Chil Cir* 2018;70:589–97.
- Mokhtari-Hessari P, Montazeri A. Health-related quality of life in breast cancer patients: review of reviews from 2008 to 2018. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2020;18:1–25.
- Jørgensen MG, Toyserkani NM, Hansen FG, *et al*. The impact of lymphedema on health-related quality of life up to 10 years after breast cancer treatment. *NPJ Breast Cancer* 2021;7:70:70.
- Ramírez-Parada K, López-Garzon M, Sánchez-Rojel C, *et al*. Effect of Supervised Resistance Training on Arm Volume, Quality of Life and Physical Performance Among Women at High Risk for Breast Cancer-Related Lymphedema: A Study Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial (STRONG-B). *Front Oncol* 2022;12:850564.
- Rangon FB, da Silva J, Dibai-Filho AV, *et al*. Effects of Complex Physical Therapy and Multimodal Approaches on Lymphedema Secondary to Breast Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2022;103:353–63.
- De Vrieze T, Nevelsteen I, Thomis S, *et al*. What are the economic burden and costs associated with the treatment of breast cancer-

- related lymphoedema? A systematic review. *Support Care Cancer* 2020;28:439–49.
- 33 De VT, Gebruers N, Nevelsteen I, *et al.* Breast cancer-related lymphedema and its treatment: how big is the financial impact. 2020.
  - 34 Bicego D, Brown K, Ruddick M, *et al.* Exercise for women with or at risk for breast cancer-related lymphedema. *Phys Ther* 2006;86:1398–405.
  - 35 Harris SR, Niesen-Vertommen SL. Challenging the myth of exercise-induced lymphedema following breast cancer: A series of case reports. *J Surg Oncol* 2000;74:95–8.
  - 36 Erickson VS, Pearson ML, Ganz PA, *et al.* Arm edema in breast cancer patients. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2001;93:96–111.
  - 37 Petrek JA, Pressman PI, Smith RA. Lymphedema: current issues in research and management. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2000;50:292–307.
  - 38 Cheema B, Gaul CA, Lane K, *et al.* Progressive resistance training in breast cancer: a systematic review of clinical trials. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2008;109:9–26.
  - 39 Wanchai A, Armer JM. Effects of weight-lifting or resistance exercise on breast cancer-related lymphedema: A systematic review. *Int J Nurs Sci* 2019;6:92–8.
  - 40 Lane K, Worsley D, McKenzie D. Exercise and the lymphatic system: implications for breast-cancer survivors. *Sports Med* 2005;35:461–71.
  - 41 Aukland K, Reed RK. Interstitial-lymphatic mechanisms in the control of extracellular fluid volume. *Physiol Rev* 1993;73:1–78.
  - 42 Campbell KL, Winters-Stone KM, Wiskemann J, *et al.* Exercise Guidelines for Cancer Survivors: Consensus Statement from International Multidisciplinary Roundtable. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2019;51:2375–90.
  - 43 Davies C, Levenhagen K, Ryans K, *et al.* Guidelines Cancer – Related Lymphedema. *Clin Pract Guideline Acad Oncol Phys Therapy APTA* 2020;100:1–17.
  - 44 Courneya KS, Segal RJ, Mackey JR, *et al.* Effects of Aerobic and Resistance Exercise in Breast Cancer Patients Receiving Adjuvant Chemotherapy: A Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial. *JCO* 2007;25:4396–404.
  - 45 Ammitzbøll G, Johansen C, Lanng C, *et al.* Progressive resistance training to prevent arm lymphedema in the first year after breast cancer surgery: Results of a randomized controlled trial. *Cancer* 2019;125:1683–92.
  - 46 Schmitz KH, Ahmed RL, Troxel AB, *et al.* Weight lifting for women at risk for breast cancer-related lymphedema: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 2010;304:2699–705.
  - 47 Hayes SC, Rye S, Disipio T, *et al.* Exercise for health: a randomized, controlled trial evaluating the impact of a pragmatic, translational exercise intervention on the quality of life, function and treatment-related side effects following breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2013;137:175–86.
  - 48 Kilbreath S, Refshauge K, Beith J, *et al.* Resistance and Stretching Shoulder Exercises Early Following Axillary Surgery for Breast Cancer. *Rehabil Oncol* 2006;24:9–14.
  - 49 Stuijver MM, Tusscher MR, Agasi-Idenburg CS, *et al.* Conventional interventions for preventing clinically detectable upper-limb lymphoedema in patients who are at risk of developing lymphoedema after breast cancer therapy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012.
  - 50 Sagen Å, Kåresen R, Risberg MA. Physical activity for the affected limb and arm lymphedema after breast cancer surgery. A prospective, randomized controlled trial with two years follow-up. *Acta Oncol* 2009;48:1102–10.
  - 51 Schmitz KH, Ahmed RL, Troxel AB, *et al.* Weight Lifting for Women at Risk for Breast Cancer–Related Lymphedema. *JAMA* 2010;304:2699.
  - 52 Schwartz L, Courneya KS, Zucker D, *et al.* From International Multidisciplinary Roundtable. 2019:2375–90.
  - 53 Tendo-Ruiz L, Palomo-Carrión R, Megía-García-Carpintero Á, *et al.* The effect of therapeutic exercise in the prevention of lymphoedema secondary to breast cancer: a systematic review. *Arch Med Sci* 2023;19:1684–92.
  - 54 Ammitzbøll G, Kristina Kjær T, Johansen C, *et al.* Effect of progressive resistance training on health-related quality of life in the first year after breast cancer surgery – results from a randomized controlled trial. *Acta Oncol* 2019;58:665–72.
  - 55 Lund LW, Ammitzbøll G, Hansen DG, *et al.* Adherence to a long-term progressive resistance training program, combining supervised and home-based exercise for breast cancer patients during adjuvant treatment. *Acta Oncol* 2019;58:650–7.
  - 56 Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, *et al.* Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Syst Rev* 2015;4:1.
  - 57 Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J. *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions*. Cochrane, 2022. Available: [www.training.cochrane.org/handbook](http://www.training.cochrane.org/handbook)
  - 58 Scherer RW, Saldanha IJ. How should systematic reviewers handle conference abstracts? A view from the trenches. *Syst Rev* 2019;8:264:264..
  - 59 Owen PJ, Miller CT, Mundell NL, *et al.* Which specific modes of exercise training are most effective for treating low back pain? Network meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med* 2020;54:1279–87.
  - 60 Zeraatkar D, Pitre T, Leung G, *et al.* Consistency of covid-19 trial preprints with published reports and impact for decision making: retrospective review. *BMJ Med* 2022;1:e000309.
  - 61 Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, *et al.* Rayyan-a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. *Syst Rev* 2016;5:210:210..
  - 62 Li T, Higgins JPT, Deeks JJ. Chapter 5: collecting data. In: *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions*. 2021: 62.
  - 63 Deeks J, JPT, A. DG. Chapter 10: analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In: *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions*. 2021: 62.
  - 64 White IR, Higgins JPT. Meta-analysis with Missing Data. *The Stata J Promoting comm on stats and Stata* 2009;9:57–69.
  - 65 Higgins JPT, Li T, Deeks JJ. Choosing effect measures and computing estimates of effect. In: *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions*. 2019: 143–76.
  - 66 Chou R, Pinto RZ, Fu R, *et al.* Systemic corticosteroids for radicular and non-radicular low back pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2022;10:CD012450.
  - 67 Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating Characteristics of a Rank Correlation Test for Publication Bias. *Biometrics* 1994;50:1088.
  - 68 Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, *et al.* The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. *PLoS Med* 2009;6:e1000100.
  - 69 Kirmayr M, Quilodrán C, Valente B, *et al.* Metodología GRADE, parte 1: cómo evaluar la certeza de la evidencia. *Medwave* 2021;21:e8109.
  - 70 Schünemann HJ, Higgins JPT, Vist GE, *et al.* Completing ‘summary of findings’ tables and grading the certainty of the evidence. 2019;375–402.
  - 71 Emanuel EJ, Wendler D, Grady C. What makes clinical research ethical? *JAMA* 2000;283:2701–11.

Appendix 1: PRISMA-P Checklist

PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol\*

| Section and topic          | Item No | Checklist item                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Information reported |    | Line Number(s) |
|----------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----|----------------|
|                            |         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Yes                  | No |                |
| ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION |         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                      |    |                |
| Title:                     |         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                      |    |                |
| Identification             | 1a      | Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review                                                                                                                                                                      | X                    |    | 1              |
| Update                     | 1b      | If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such                                                                                                                                            |                      | X  | N/A            |
| Registration               | 2       | If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number                                                                                                                                    | X                    |    | 48             |
| Authors:                   |         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                      |    |                |
| Contact                    | 3a      | Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author                                                                                     | X                    |    | 3-31           |
| Contributions              | 3b      | Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review                                                                                                                                           | X                    |    | 303-313        |
| Amendments                 | 4       | If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments                               |                      | X  | N/A            |
| Support:                   |         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                      |    |                |
| Sources                    | 5a      | Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review                                                                                                                                                                 | X                    |    | 306            |
| Sponsor                    | 5b      | Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor                                                                                                                                                                             | X                    |    | 303-312        |
| Role of sponsor or funder  | 5c      | Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol                                                                                                                            | X                    |    | 303-312        |
| INTRODUCTION               |         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                      |    |                |
| Rationale                  | 6       | Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known                                                                                                                                                 | X                    |    | 68-120         |
| Objectives                 | 7       | Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)                                                                      | X                    |    | 130-132        |
| METHODS                    |         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                      |    |                |
| Eligibility criteria       | 8       | Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review | X                    |    | 139-184        |
| Information sources        | 9       | Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage                                         | X                    |    | 186-193        |
| Search strategy            | 10      | Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits,                                                                                                                   | X                    |    | Appendix 2     |

|                                    |     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |   |  |         |
|------------------------------------|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|--|---------|
|                                    |     | such that it could be repeated                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |   |  |         |
| Study records:                     |     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |   |  |         |
| Data management                    | 11a | Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review                                                                                                                                                              | X |  |         |
| Selection process                  | 11b | State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis)                                                           | X |  | 207-210 |
| Data collection process            | 11c | Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators                                                                    | X |  | 212-224 |
| Data items                         | 12  | List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications                                                                                                   | X |  | 160-184 |
| Outcomes and prioritization        | 13  | List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale                                                                                                                      | X |  | 160-184 |
| Risk of bias in individual studies | 14  | Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis                                      | X |  | 226-236 |
| Data synthesis                     | 15a | Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised                                                                                                                                                                               | X |  | 247-275 |
|                                    | 15b | If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as I <sup>2</sup> , Kendall's $\tau$ ) | X |  | 253-258 |
|                                    | 15c | Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)                                                                                                                                                     | X |  | 238-245 |
|                                    | 15d | If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned                                                                                                                                                                        | X |  | 266-275 |
| Meta-bias(es)                      | 16  | Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)                                                                                                                             | X |  | 260-264 |
| Confidence in cumulative evidence  | 17  | Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE)                                                                                                                                                                        | X |  | 288-294 |

**\* It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the PRISMA-P Explanation and Elaboration (cite when available) for important clarification on the items. Amendments to a review protocol should be tracked and dated. The copyright for PRISMA-P (including checklist) is held by the PRISMA-P Group and is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Licence 4.0.**

*From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015 Jan 2;349(jan02 1):g7647.*

## Appendix 2. Search strategy for the Medline/Pubmed database.

| Number | Search terms                          |
|--------|---------------------------------------|
| #1     | "Breast Neoplasms"[Mesh]              |
| #2     | "Breast Neoplasms"                    |
| #3     | breast cancer*[tiab]                  |
| #4     | breast tumor*[tiab]                   |
| #5     | breast tumor*[tiab]                   |
| #6     | breast tumour*[tiab]                  |
| #7     | mammary neoplasm*[tiab]               |
| #8     | mammary carcinoma*[tiab]              |
| #9     | breast neoplasm*[tiab]                |
| #10    | breast carcinoma*[tiab]               |
| #11    | or/#1-10                              |
| #12    | prevention and control                |
| #13    | "Prevention and control" [Subheading] |
| #14    | "Preventive Health Services"[Mesh]    |
| #15    | "Early Diagnosis"[Mesh]               |
| #16    | "Risk"[Mesh]                          |
| #17    | or/#12-16                             |
| #18    | "Resistance Training"[Mesh]           |
| #19    | "Resistance Training"                 |
| #20    | Exercise Program, Weight-Lifting      |
| #21    | Weight Lifting Exercise Program       |
| #22    | strength exercise                     |
| #23    | weight training                       |
| #24    | weight lifting                        |
| #25    | resistance exercise                   |
| #26    | physical therapy techniques           |
| #27    | Physical Therapy Specialty            |
| #28    | REHABILITATION                        |
| #29    | Physiotherapy                         |
| #30    | or/#18-29                             |
| #31    | clinical[Title/Abstract]              |
| #32    | trial[Title/Abstract]                 |
| #33    | clinical trials as topic[MeSH Terms]  |
| #34    | clinical trial[Publication Type]      |
| #35    | random*[Title/Abstract]               |
| #37    | random allocation[MeSH Terms]         |
| #38    | therapeutic use[MeSH Subheading]      |
| #39    | or/#31-38                             |
| #40    | and/#11, 17, 30, 39                   |

Search strategy used on Lilacs:

| Number | Search terms                                    |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------|
| #1     | neoplasias da mama                              |
| #2     | cancer de seno                                  |
| #3     | or/1-2                                          |
| #4     | servicios preventivos de salud                  |
| #5     | prevención y promoción de la salud              |
| #6     | salud preventiva                                |
| #7     | or/4-6                                          |
| #8     | entrenamiento de fuerza                         |
| #9     | musculación                                     |
| #10    | programa de fortalecimiento levantando peso     |
| #11    | levantamiento de peso                           |
| #12    | modalidades de fisioterapia                     |
| #13    | fisioterapia                                    |
| #14    | especialidad de fisioterapia                    |
| #15    | especialidad de terapia física                  |
| #16    | rehabilitación                                  |
| #17    | or/8-16                                         |
| #18    | and/3, 7, 17                                    |
| #19    | Filter: ( type_of_study:( "clinical_trials" ) ) |

Search strategy used on PEDro:

Advanced Search:

**Abstract & Title:** Risk breast cancer-related lymphedema

**Therapy:** strength training

**Problem:** oedema

**Body Part:**

**Subdiscipline:** oncology

**Topic:** -

**Method:** clinical trial

**Autor/Association:** -

**Title Only:** -

**Source:** -

**Published Since:** -

**New records added since:** -

**Score at least:** -

**When Searching:** Match all serch term (AND)

Search strategy used on Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials:

| Number | Search terms                                                    |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| #1     | MeSH descriptor: [Breast Neoplasms] explode all trees           |
| #2     | Breast Neoplasms                                                |
| #3     | breast cancer*                                                  |
| #4     | mammary neoplasm*                                               |
| #5     | or/1-4                                                          |
| #6     | prevention and control                                          |
| #7     | MeSH descriptor: [Preventive Health Services] explode all trees |
| #8     | MeSH descriptor: [Early Diagnosis] explode all trees            |
| #9     | MeSH descriptor: [Risk] explode all trees                       |
| #10    | or/6-9                                                          |
| #11    | MeSH descriptor: [Resistance Training] explode all trees        |
| #12    | Resistance Training                                             |
| #13    | Exercise Program, Weight-Lifting                                |
| #14    | Weight Lifting Exercise Program                                 |
| #15    | strength exercise                                               |
| #16    | resistance exercise                                             |
| #17    | physical therapy techniques                                     |
| #18    | Physical Therapy Specialty                                      |
| #19    | REHABILITATION                                                  |
| #20    | MeSH descriptor: [Physical Therapy Specialty] explode all trees |
| #21    | or/11-20                                                        |
| #22    | and/5, 10, 21                                                   |

#### Search strategy used on Embase (Ovid):

| Number | Search terms                                                    |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| #1     | 'breast tumor'/exp                                              |
| #2     | ('prevention'/exp OR prevention) AND ('control'/exp OR control) |
| #3     | 'preventive health service'/exp                                 |
| #4     | 'early diagnosis'/exp                                           |
| #5     | 'risk'/exp                                                      |
| #6     | 'resistance training'/exp                                       |
| #7     | 'weight lifting'/exp                                            |
| #8     | 'strength exercise'/exp                                         |
| #9     | 'weight training'/exp                                           |
| #10    | 'physiotherapy'/exp                                             |
| #11    | 'rehabilitation'/exp                                            |
| #12    | or/2-5                                                          |
| #13    | or/6-11                                                         |
| #14    | and/12, 13                                                      |
| #15    | 'randomized controlled trial'/de                                |
| #16    | and/14, 15                                                      |

